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Abstract:
Software testing is the process of verifying and validating the user’s requirements. Testing is an ongoing process during whole software development. Software testing can be characterized into three main types. That is, in Black box testing, the user doesn’t know domestic knowledge, internal logics and design of system. In white box testing, Tester knows the domestic logic of code. In Grey box testing, Tester has little bit knowledge about the internal structure and working of the system. It is commonly used in case of Integration testing. Load testing helps us to analyze the performance of the system under heavy load or under Zero load. This is achieved with the help of a Load Testing Tool. The intention for writing this research is to carry out a comparison of four load testing tools i.e. Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), Siege based on certain criteria i.e. test scripts generation, result reports, application support, plug-in supports, and cost. The main focus is to study these load testing tools and identify which tool is better and more efficient. We assume this comparison can help in selecting the most appropriate tool and motivates the use of open source load testing tools.
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1. Introduction
The objective of software testing is to find defects, errors and bugs in a software, system or product. Software testing is characterized into manual testing and automation testing.

Manual testing is executed by the testers. First of all a written test plan is created, and followed by testers that provides a guideline through different steps. But there are a lot of problems faced by testers like it is very time taking and consuming, no reusability, has no scripting feature, much human effort required, and still major or minor bugs remain unexplored. Therefore to cover all types of errors and bugs automation testing has introduced that explores all the issues exist in manual testing [11]. All Automation testing tools test software in less time, produce more reliable, repeatable, and reusable final product.

Load testing is activated when we steadily raise the load upon a system until it reaches a target load. Usually this is the maximum load, average or even zero load. The goal of a load testing is to discover functional and performance issues of a system under load. Load testing is appropriate for testing the performance of web site, and its framework [18].

The intention for writing this research is to carry out a comparison of four load testing tools i.e. Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), Siege based on some parameters. This research paper is divided into different sections. Section 1 consists of introduction.

¹ Department of Software Engineering, Bahria University Islamabad, Pakistan
* Corresponding Author: zaini.1984@gmail.com
Literature review is discussed in Section 2. Research methodology is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we present evaluation study. In Section 5, and comparison table of automated testing tools is presented. In Section 6, results and analysis of study is presented and in Section 7, on the basis of research, conclusion is presented.

2. Literature review

This section presents the literature review of research topic. Farmeena Khan et al in their paper describes and compares three main testing techniques: “White box, Black box and Grey box testing”. Authors’ presents the future changes in software testing industry due to new technologies like SOA (Service Oriented Architecture) & mobile technologies etc[3]. Niranjanimurthy et al in their paper discusses testing terminologies used in testing, levels of testing, analysis of automated and manual techniques, comparison of Selenium and QTP Tools, comparison of “White box, Black box and Grey box testing techniques”[2]. Taraq Hussain et al in their research mentions that testing can never completely diagnoses all errors of a software but it provides evaluation techniques which helps the tester to diagnose a problem. After comparison they show that the White Box Testing is best suitable for software reliability[4]. “The Growth of Software Testing” is written by “David Gelperin and Bill Hetzel”[14]. In this paper, authors describes the evolution of different software testing process models, their merits and demerits due to which some of these are failed. From 1956 to present, different software testing models are discussed; Changes in these models are evaluated[14].

Harpureet Kaur and Dr. Gagan Gupta in their paper discussed the two ways of testing: Manual testing and Automation testing. In this research paper, they discuss the parameters of “Selenium 2.0.0, Quick Test Professional 10.0, and TestComplete 9.0”. These three tools comparison is based on different specification and parameters. After analysis, researchers concluded that anyone can choose the testing tool on the basis of budget and nature of software that has to be tested. Researchers found that QTP is more suitable among all that three tools[6].

Neha Bhatia in her paper discussed manual testing and automation testing. If the requirements are continuously changing and regression testing is needed to perform repeatedly, then automated testing is more suitable in that environment. In this paper, researcher discussed different automation tools[7]. Neha Dubey et al in their paper compare and study concepts and characteristics of two “software automated tools Ranorex and the Automated QA TestComplete” that are based on some criteria. After comparison they concluded that “Ranorex” is the best tool for web based applications[10]. Monika Sharma, Vaishnavi S. Iyer, Sugandhi Subramanian, and Abhinandhan Shetty in their paper focuses on comparing load testing tools-Apache JMeter, HP LoadRunner, WebLOAD, and The Grinder on the basis of different parameters[11].

3. Related Work

Comparison between load testing tools has been done by many authors. Vandana E. [13][20], have done comparative study of testing tools which are jmeter and load runner. They described advantages and disadvantages of both tools and recommended that Jmeter is much better than Load Runner because it has clean UI that offers much simplicity. Bhatti et.al [19], described number of load testing tools for test web applications. The testing tools they discussed are Load Runner, NeoLoad, WAPT, Soasta Cloud Test, LoadStorm, Loadster, Apache, JMeter, HTTPERF, LoadUI, and LoadImpact. They analyzed that among all tools to test a web application, NEOLOAD is best for load testing due to its visual programming and its script less design. Rina [21] analyzed the NeoLoad, WAPT and Loadster tools on different browsers and compared the results of their performance. One site has tested on above three tools for performance. The comparison they done provides a better understanding for selecting the best tool according to requirements and possibilities, however they concluded that It is difficult to compare tools because many parameters and ages of both tools and their usability.

Upadhyay [22] compared some specific performance testing tools for their usability and effectiveness. WAPT and RANOXEX performance testing tools infences, implications and results have been presented and discussed. Different attributes, their ability to compare the
results, test cases documentation ability and regression testing performance ability have been compared. Dart Et.al compared software web tools in terms of their dynamic test generation ability [18]. A survey has been presented on static and dynamic testing analysis. Sufiani [23] compared different performance testing tools response time and justified these differences include architecture and simulation mechanism.

4. Research Methodology

Testing is an important and critical part of the SDLC. In recent times different automated software testing tools are available in market. Several studies are available in which comparisons of different testing tools are done. According to our observations, there is no comparative analysis on the load testing tools, such as “JMeter, Siege, LoadRunner, and Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS)”. In this paper, we compare these load testing tools on the basis of different parameters.

4.1 Automated software testing tools

A brief explanation and comprehensive account of automated software testing tools is taken here in this section.

4.1.1 Apache JMeter

Apache JMeter is an “open-source testing tool” developed by “Apache Software Foundation (ASF)”. JMeter’s main function is to load test client/server. Moreover, JMeter is used in regression testing by generating test scripts [12]. JMeter provides offline reporting of test results. JMeter test reports are shown in fig 2.

4.1.2 LoadRunner

HPE LoadRunner is an “automation testing tool” from “Hewlett Packard” enterprise [15]. HP LoadRunner software testing tool helps you to detect and prevent from software performance issues by identifying bottlenecks [16]. HP LoadRunner Scripting and test report summary is shown in Fig 3.

Figure 3: HP LoadRunner scripting & test summary reports

4.1.3 Siege

“Siege” was developed and implemented by “Jeffrey Fulmer” as Webmaster for Armstrong World Industries. It is a software load testing tool which is very productive in detecting the performance of system when load exists [13]. Siege executing commands and Test summary reports is shown in fig 4.

Figure 4: Siege executing commands & test report summary

4.1.4 Microsoft visual studio (TFS)

“Team Foundation Server (TFS)” is a load testing tool which facilitate with source code management, Project management, Requirement management, reporting, testing capabilities, automated builds, lab management, [17] TFS test Summary reports are shown in fig 5.
5. Evaluation Study

Now a day’s different open source and profitable Load testing tools are available in the market. For this comparative study, we are using the latest and running versions of “Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), and Siege”. Through these tools we test the Bahria University Islamabad campus Website (http://www.buic.edu.pk/) and for Siege, we test telecommunication company website (www.telenor.pk). Comparison between these four tools is made on the basis of list evaluation parameters with the explanation.

6. Result and Analysis of Study

For assessment of the parameters, we use 3-point scale in a graph i.e. 3, 2, 1 as Best, Average, and Worst respectively. Different value for different parameters with selected automated tools is verified. The calculated value of parameters is used for conclusion and investigation of this comparative study. The overall comparison based graph for these four automated load testing tools is shown in Fig 6.

![Figure 6: Comparison graph based on selected parameter results](image)

7. Results and Findings

After comparison and analysis, we conclude that anyone can choose the testing tool on the basis of budget and nature of software that has to be tested. Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), Siege all four are good tools for test automation. We take two different websites Bahria University Islamabad campus Website (http://www.buic.edu.pk/) and for Siege, we test telecommunication company website (www.telenor.pk) because Siege cannot test live sites. Each tool has its own benefits and drawbacks too; a detail analysis in this context is in Table I below. It is to be noted for Telenor System we did have the access code available but for the Bahria University we did not have access to the code.

Siege can reduce the cost as it is open source but it has limited options to be used as it is command line tool and it sometimes generate inaccurate result.

HP LoadRunner is best for performance checking when load found. It can handle multiple users at same time but it has some configuration or installation problems across firewalls and its licensing cost is high. Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS) is user friendly .It has built-in testing capabilities whether there are 100 parallel users or 1000s, it is easy to test according to user requirements but it can only supports Windows OS and it has high licensing cost. Apache JMeter is best option as it is free of cost (see fig 7 in this). It takes more time on one time installation but it has broad set of options for result analysis and it is good for different tests to be run simultaneously .It has several plugins which raise its testing capabilities.

![Figure 7: Pie chart showing JMeter as highest use](image)
In this section, we compare the automation testing tools. This comparison is beneficial for the testers/researchers (technical stakeholders) to choose the more appropriate load test tool as per requirements. Table II presents comparison of automated testing tools i.e. Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), and Siege on the basis of different parameters (characteristics).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE-I: EVALUATION PARAMETERS WITH EXPLANATION DETAILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appropriate Parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generating scripts capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Result Reports generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to learn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scripting languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plugin support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE-II: COMPARISON OF WEB SERVICE TESTING TOOLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEATURES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scripting language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plugin support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Conclusion

Improving software quality and performance has become a priority for almost every organization that relies on the software development. Thus the quality issue related to the software’s industry becomes more important, apparent and more technical also considering the user’s requirements in this aspect. Software systems have to ensure consistent and bug free execution at a rapid pace every time they are used especially in web based development.

In this work we have performed a thorough and comprehensive comparison and analysis using different tools/technologies available for testing (load testing as case scenario). After a through analytical review of these different tools mentioned in sections IV and V for Load testing, we summarize here that anyone can choose the testing tool but on the basis of budget, time and nature of software system under consideration that has to be tested. Besides Each tool have its own benefits and drawbacks, and have to keep in queue when performing anyone of the mentioned testing strategies (or any other). Apache JMeter, LoadRunner, Microsoft Visual Studio (TFS), Siege all four are good tools for test automation. But we have shown that JMeter provides better results than any other tested tools (techniques) as it is a ratio scale methodology, and also includes a consistency check.

In future work, with the access to code (for web projects) the applications and values (attributes) of these tools can be estimated, especially in case of stress testing while performing Load testing. As stress testing evaluate the system when stressed to its limits over a short period of time and that following testing is especially important for systems that usually operate below maximum capacity but are severely stressed at certain times of peak demand.
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